arXiv:hep-th/9804015v1 2 Apr 1998

ON THE DECAY LAW FOR UNSTABLE OPEN SYSTEMS

F. Benatti
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Trieste
Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy
and
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste

R. Floreanini
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Trieste
Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy

Abstract

We use (nonconservative) dynamical semigroups to investigate the decay law of a quantum unstable system weakly coupled with a large environment. We find that the deviations from the classical exponential law are small and can be safely ignored in any actual experiment.

The decay law of an unstable microscopic system can be rather well described by an exponential function; this result can be easily justified on the basis of classical probabilistic considerations. However, microscopic systems should be described by quantum mechanics and it is well known that in quantum theory the exponential decay law can not be valid for all times; in particular, it surely fails for very short and very long times. Indeed, rather general considerations assure that the quantum decay law can be described by a three-step function: a Gaussian law at short times, the classical exponential law at intermediate times and finally a power law at longer times (*e.g.* see [1-3] and references therein).

Although various experiments have been devised in order to obtain evidence for discrepancies with the exponential law, none have been so far actually detected.[4] In this respect, a system that has attracted a lot of interest both theoretically and experimentally is the neutral kaon system. The K^0-$\overline{K^0}$ system has proven to be one of the most fruitful systems for testing fundamental symmetries, like CP and CPT. In parametrizing violations of these symmetries, one usually takes for granted the exponential decay law and uses an effective theory to describe the kaon system.[5]

In the following we shall examine to what extent the exponential decay law can be trusted in actual experiments. More precisely, we shall study the deviations from the exponential law for small and large times, taking also into account possible effects due to incoherent interactions with the environment.

The general idea that is at the basis of our considerations is that unstable systems can be viewed as specific examples of open quantum systems. These systems can be modeled in general as being small subsystems in weak interaction with large environments. Although the global time evolution of the closed compound system is described by an unitary transformation, the reduced dynamics of the subsystem, obtained by the elimination of the environment degrees of freedom, usually develops some sort of dissipation and irreversibility. Under mild assumptions, the reduced evolution is realized by one-parameter (=time) maps acting on the states of the system, conveniently described by density matrices, with forward in time composition (semigroup property) and the additional characteristic of being completely positive. This set of transformations forms a so-called dynamical semigroup.[6-10]

This rather universal and general formalism has been recently adopted to treat effective dynamics for the kaon system that transform pure states into mixed ones.[11-16] The physical motivations behind such an approach are based on quantum gravity, that predicts loss of quantum coherence at the Planck's length due to fluctuations of the gravitational field.[17] These generalized time-evolutions lead to CP and CPT violating effects that could be in the reach of the next generation of neutral kaon experiments.[15, 16]

In these treatments, the environment was assumed not to contribute to the decay of the kaons, that was effectively described by the standard exponential law. However, possible effects of the environment on the decay process itself are surely conceivable. As we shall see, they can be studied using again the formalism of dynamical semigroups. It will turn out that these environment effects do not modify the short-time behaviour of the decay law, but only the exponential and power law regimes.

However, in the realistic hypothesis of a weak coupling between unstable system and environment, these modifications are tiny or occur for too large times for any practical con-

siderations. Therefore, the exponential decay law captures rather well the essential features of the decay process of an unstable system and can be certainly used with confidence in any actual experiment involving weak-decaying particles, like the neutral K mesons.

For our considerations, we choose to describe the states of a quantum system evolving in time by means of density matrices $\rho(t)$. Given an initial state ρ and a time-independent hermitian hamiltonian H, the standard time evolution is described by

$$\rho(t) = e^{-iHt}\ \rho\ e^{iHt}\ , \tag{1}$$

solution of the Liouville-von Neumann equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -i[H, \rho(t)]\ . \tag{2}$$

In the case of an unstable system, it is custom to split H as

$$H = H^{(0)} + H^{(1)}\ , \tag{3}$$

where $H^{(0)}$ is the unperturbed hamiltonian, while $H^{(1)}$ is the interaction hamiltonian that drives the decay process; the system would be stable if $H^{(1)} = 0$. In the case of the neutral K-mesons, $H^{(0)}$ can be identified with the hamiltonian of the strong interactions and $H^{(1)}$ with that of the weak interactions (possible "superweak" mixing terms should be included in $H^{(0)}$). Further, we shall call P_u the projector operator on the subspace of the undecayed states. We shall also use the orthogonal projector $P_d = 1 - P_u$; it describes the transition to the space of the decayed states.

Assuming that at the beginning our unstable system is in the undecayed state $\rho = P_u\,\rho\,P_u$, the probability of finding it undecayed at time t is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(t)\,P_u]\ . \tag{4}$$

In the case of the decay of a single particle, with evolution as in (1), the properties of $\mathcal{P}(t)$ have been widely studied in the literature. Here we shall extend those treatments by considering also the interaction of the decay system (not necessarily one-dimensional) with the environment. Together with the effects of the hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$, also this interaction could in principle contribute to the decay process.

As mentioned in the introductory remarks, in order to take into account these extra effects we shall treat the unstable system as an open quantum system S.[6-10] As for any open system, S can be thought of as interacting with a suitable environment E, so that the global system $S + E$ is closed. This evolves in time according to a group of unitary operators as in (1), governed by a total hamiltonian H_{S+E}, which is the sum of the hamiltonian H_S of the subsystem, of the hamiltonian H_E of the environment and of the interaction hamiltonian H_{SE} between them. A reduced dynamics for the subsystem S can be consistently obtained when S and E are assumed to be uncorrelated at the moment of the formation of the unstable system and therefore the state of the total system is simply: $\rho_{S+E} = \rho \otimes \rho_E$.

In such cases, by tracing over the the environment degrees of freedom one gets linear, completely positive maps $\rho \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}_t[\rho]$ on the states of the subsystem, where

$$\tilde{\gamma}_t[\rho] \equiv \mathrm{Tr}_E\Big[e^{-iH_{S+E}t}\big(\rho \otimes \rho_E\big)e^{iH_{S+E}t}\Big] \ . \tag{5}$$

These transformations do not have any simple composition law and they usually contain memory effects. However, when the interaction with the environment is weak, they reduce to completely positive, dissipative dynamical maps $\gamma_t : \rho \mapsto \rho(t)$, which obey a semigroup composition law, $\gamma_{t_1} \circ \gamma_{t_2} = \gamma_{t_1+t_2}$, $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$, and satisfy the condition of entropy increase: $dS/dt \geq 0$, $S(t) = -\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(t) \ln \rho(t)]$. Moreover, these semigroups are generated by equations of a very specific type that can be explicitly given:[6]

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = R\,\rho(t) + \rho(t)\,R^\dagger + \sum_k A_k\,\rho(t)\,A_k^\dagger \ , \tag{6}$$

where the set of (bounded) operators R and A_k are such that: $R + R^\dagger + \sum_k A_k^\dagger A_k \leq 0$. They are probability preserving or not depending on whether this combination is identically zero or not; they are called quantum dynamical semigroups. Notice that this description of open systems is rather general and the effective subdynamics γ_t is essentially independent from the type of the environment.

In the case of unstable high energy particle systems, a natural choice for E could be the gravitational field, whose effects are usually neglected in the theory of elementary particles because of the smallness of its coupling. Its quantum fluctuations at Planck's length could nevertheless act as a weak coupled environment producing detectable effects in elementary particle interactions.[17, 11] It is also worth mentioning that the effects of such fluctuations, realized via the space-time foam, can be effectively described with an heat bath, the most natural of all environments.[18]

In view of all above considerations, we shall now generalize the standard quantum mechanical evolution equations for an unstable system by adding to (2) a linear piece of the form given by the r.h.s. of (6). Since this additional piece should describe the contribution of the environment to the decay process, it must be proportional to $\rho(t) - P_u\,\rho(t)\,P_u$. In fact, this term would project $\rho(t)$ out of the space of undecayed states. Thus, we shall study the generalized time evolution described by the equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -i\big[H, \rho(t)\big] - \lambda\big(\rho(t) - P_u\,\rho(t)\,P_u\big) \ , \tag{7}$$

with λ a positive "coupling" constant and H as in (3). We shall consider weak coupled environments, and therefore assume λ to be much smaller than any typical energy scale in the hamiltonian. In the case of the K^0-$\overline{K^0}$ system, assuming the dissipative term in (7) of gravitational origin, dimensional arguments suggest λ to be at most of order m_K^2/m_P, where m_K is the kaon rest mass and m_P is Planck's mass. The evolution equation (7) describes nonconservative dynamical maps.[6] Indeed, probability is not conserved, $(d/dt)\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(t)] = -\lambda \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(t)\,P_d] \leq 0$, as it should be for an unstable system; however, this violation is small, since λ is small.

Equations of the form (7) were used before in discussing one-dimensional unstable systems.[1] The motivation for the introduction of the nonstandard term was there attributed to the interaction of the decaying system with the measuring apparatus. While this point of view is surely viable, we stress that our interpretation of (7) as describing the evolution of an unstable system is completely phenomenological in nature. In particular, we do not make any specific assumption on the phenomena responsible for the appearance of the second term in the r.h.s. of (7).

In order to proceed in the study of equation (7), it is convenient to introduce a vector notation, rewriting the density matrix ρ describing the state of the unstable system as the vector $|\rho\rangle$. To any operator acting on the state ρ, one can define a corresponding operator acting on the vector $|\rho\rangle$. In particular, one can define the projector Π_u,

$$\Pi_u|\rho\rangle \equiv \left|P_u\,\rho\,P_u\right\rangle\ , \qquad \Pi_u^2 = \Pi_u\ , \tag{8}$$

and its orthogonal complement: $\Pi_d = 1 - \Pi_u$. By introducing the Liouville operator L_H corresponding to the hamiltonian H,

$$L_H\ |\rho\rangle \equiv \left|\ [H,\rho]\ \right\rangle\ , \tag{9}$$

one can rewrite (7) as a Schrödnger like equation:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\rho(t)\rangle = L\ |\rho(t)\rangle\ , \tag{10}$$

with

$$L \equiv L_H - i\lambda\ \Pi_d\ . \tag{11}$$

Although the statements and the conclusions obtained below using this new formalism can be rigorously justified, for sake of simplicity we shall keep mathematical considerations to a minimum. As we shall see in the following, this formalism results particularly appropriate in the study of unstable system for which the space of the undecayed states is not one-dimensional, as in the case of the neutral kaons.

We are ultimately interested in describing the properties of the probability $\mathcal{P}(t)$ in (4). Therefore, one should concentrate on the study of the time evolution of the projected vector:

$$|\rho(t)\rangle_u = \Pi_u\ |\rho(t)\rangle\ . \tag{12}$$

Using the Laplace transformed vector $|\tilde{\rho}(s)\rangle$, the corresponding Lippmann-Schwinger equation reads:

$$\left[s + i\left(L_{uu} + L_{ud}\ \frac{1}{is - L_{dd} + i\lambda\Pi_d}\ L_{du}\right)\right]\ |\tilde{\rho}(s)\rangle_u = |\tilde{\rho}(0)\rangle_u\ , \tag{13}$$

where s is the Laplace variable and

$$L_{uu} = \Pi_u L_H \Pi_u\ , \quad L_{ud} = \Pi_u L_H \Pi_d\ , \quad L_{du} = \Pi_d L_H \Pi_u\ , \quad L_{dd} = \Pi_d L_H \Pi_d\ . \tag{14}$$

The action of these operators on any state $|\rho\rangle$ is well-defined. For instance, using the shorthand notation $\mathcal{O}_{ij} = P_i\,\mathcal{O}\,P_j$, $i,j = u,d$, with $\mathcal{O}$ a generic operator, one finds:

$$L_{ud}\ |\rho\rangle = \Big|H_{ud}\,\rho_{du} - \rho_{ud}\,H_{du}\Big\rangle\ , \tag{15a}$$

$$L_{du}\ |\rho\rangle = \Big|H_{du}\,\rho_{uu} - \rho_{uu}\,H_{ud}\Big\rangle\ . \tag{15b}$$

According to our hypothesis, the decay process is driven by the interaction hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$ and the coupling to the environment. In particular, the decaying system would be actually stable for $H^{(1)} = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. This immediately implies that $H_{ud} \equiv H^{(1)}_{ud}$, $H_{du} \equiv H^{(1)}_{du}$ and $H_{uu} \equiv H^{(0)}_{uu}$. Furthermore, as $H^{(1)}$ is supposed to be small (in the case of the neutral kaons, $H^{(1)}$ is indeed the weak hamiltonian), we shall study approximated solutions of (13), taking into account only terms up to second order in $H^{(1)}$; this is also the approximation that is usually adopted in the description of decaying particles in standard quantum mechanics.

At this point, in order to simplify the formulas, we also assume that the space of the undecayed states is degenerate in energy: $H_{uu} \equiv H^{(0)}_{uu} = E_0\,P_u$. In the case of the neutral kaons, this is not really a restriction since K^0 and $\overline{K^0}$ have the same rest mass. Then, by acting on a generic state $|\rho\rangle_u$, one can prove that:

$$L_{uu} + L_{ud}\ \frac{1}{i(s+\lambda)\Pi_d - L_{dd}}\ L_{du} = \mathcal{L}_{W(s)} + O\Big((H^{(1)})^3\Big)\ , \tag{16}$$

where $W(s)$ is the effective non-hermitian "hamiltonian"

$$W(s) = H^{(1)}_{ud}\ \frac{1}{i(s+\lambda) + E_0 - H^{(0)}_{dd}}\ H^{(1)}_{du}\ , \tag{17}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}$ is the corresponding generalized Liouville operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}|\rho\rangle = \Big|W(s)\,\rho - \rho\,W^\dagger(s)\Big\rangle\ . \tag{18}$$

This is not surprising since, in view of (15), the generalized operators L_{ud} and L_{du} themselves are of order $H^{(1)}$. Thus, up to second order terms in $H^{(1)}$, equation (13) becomes

$$\Big(s + i\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}\Big)\ |\tilde\rho(s)\rangle_u = |\tilde\rho(0)\rangle_u\ . \tag{19}$$

Using the inverse Laplace transform, one can then write

$$|\rho(t)\rangle_u = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\ e^{st}\ \left[\frac{1}{s + i\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}}\right]\ |\tilde\rho(0)\rangle_u\ , \tag{20}$$

where c must be chosen so that the integration path in the complex s-plane lies to the right of all singularities of the generalized operator $[s + i\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}]^{-1}$.

Before proceeding further, let us first deduce from (20) the standard exponential decay law. The singularities of the integrand in (20) are related to those of the Liouville operator $\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}$, and therefore to those of $W(s)$ in (17). Indeed, using the definition (18), one can deduce that the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}$ coincides with the difference of the spectra of $W(s)$ and $W^\dagger(s)$. The operator $W(s)$ is analytic in the complex s-plane except when the denominator in (17) vanishes. Since typically the spectrum of $H^{(0)}_{dd}$ is continuum, the singularities of $W(s)$ lay on the imaginary axis, where usually there is a cut. Therefore, one can conclude that also $[s+i\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}]^{-1}$ has generally a cut on the imaginary axis of the s-plane. One can then move the integration path in (20) almost to coincide with this axis.

Further, one notice that when $H^{(1)}=0$ and $\lambda=0$ the generalized Liouville operator $\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}$ becomes the null operator, so that only a pole at the origin occurs in the integrand of (20). In this case, we obtain: $|\rho(t)\rangle_u = |\rho(0)\rangle_u$, as it should be, since now the system is stable. In presence of interactions, this pole moves into the second sheet, but remains close to the imaginary axis for small $H^{(1)}$ and λ.[3] One then expects that this pole continues to give the main contribution to the integral. This is the so called Weisskopf-Wigner approximation, that gives rise to the exponential decay law for all times. Indeed, within this approximation and using (18), the integral in (20) gives

$$|\rho(t)\rangle_u = e^{-it\mathcal{L}_{H_W}}\ |\rho(0)\rangle_u\ , \tag{21}$$

or equivalently

$$\rho_{uu}(t) = e^{-iH_W t}\ \rho_{uu}(0)\ e^{iH_W^\dagger t}\ , \tag{22}$$

where the effective hamiltonian H_W takes the form:

$$H_W = H^{(0)}_{uu} + H^{(1)}_{ud}\ \frac{1}{E_0 - H^{(0)}_{dd} + i\lambda}\ H^{(1)}_{du}\ . \tag{23}$$

This hamiltonian is not hermitian and can be written as $H_W = M - i\Gamma/2$, with M and Γ hermitian and positive. Indeed, by putting the system in a finite box so that the spectrum of $H^{(0)}_{dd}$ becomes discrete, one explicitly finds:

$$[M]_{\alpha\beta} = E_0\,\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_k \langle\alpha|H^{(1)}|k\rangle\ \frac{(E_0-E_k)}{(E_0-E_k)^2+\lambda^2}\ \langle k|H^{(1)}|\beta\rangle\ , \tag{24a}$$

$$[\Gamma]_{\alpha\beta} = 2\sum_k \langle\alpha|H^{(1)}|k\rangle\ \frac{\lambda}{(E_0-E_k)^2+\lambda^2}\ \langle k|H^{(1)}|\beta\rangle\ , \tag{24b}$$

where we have used greek (latin) indices to label undecayed (decay-products) states. The entries of the matrix

$$[\sigma(E)]_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{E_k\le E} \langle\alpha|H^{(1)}|k\rangle\ \langle k|H^{(1)}|\beta\rangle\ , \tag{25}$$

are usually found to be piecewise differentiable in the variable E when the volume of the box becomes infinite.[2] In this limit, by setting

$$[\omega(E)]_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{d[\sigma(E)]_{\alpha\beta}}{dE}\ , \tag{26}$$

the sums in (24) can be substituted by integrals:

$$[M]_{\alpha\beta} = E_0\,\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \int_{E_m}^{\infty} dE\ [\omega(E)]_{\alpha\beta}\,\frac{(E_0-E)}{(E_0-E)^2+\lambda^2}\ , \tag{27a}$$

$$[\Gamma]_{\alpha\beta} = 2\int_{E_m}^{\infty} dE\ [\omega(E)]_{\alpha\beta}\,\frac{\lambda}{(E_0-E)^2+\lambda^2}\ , \tag{27b}$$

where E_m is the lowest eigenvalue of $H^{(0)}_{dd}$. For λ small, using

$$\frac{x}{x^2+\lambda^2} = P\frac{1}{x} + \lambda\,\pi\delta'(x) + O(\lambda^2)\ , \tag{28a}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{x^2+\lambda^2} = \pi\delta(x) + \lambda\,P\frac{1}{x^2} + O(\lambda^2)\ , \tag{28b}$$

with P indicating principal value, the equations (27) finally become:

$$[M]_{\alpha\beta} = [\mu(E_0)]_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda\pi\,[\omega'(E_0)]_{\alpha\beta}\ , \tag{29a}$$

$$[\Gamma]_{\alpha\beta} = 2\pi\,[\omega(E_0)]_{\alpha\beta} + 2\lambda\left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - [\mu'(E_0)]_{\alpha\beta}\right)\ , \tag{29b}$$

where the dash signifies derivative with respect to E_0 and

$$[\mu(E_0)]_{\alpha\beta} = E_0\,\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \int_{E_m}^{\infty} dE\ [\omega(E)]_{\alpha\beta}\ P\frac{1}{E_0-E}\ . \tag{30}$$

When $\lambda = 0$, M and Γ reduce to their standard Wiesskopf-Wigner expressions. The effect of the environment is to modify these expressions by adding terms that are in principle calculable using field theory techniques.[19-21] The actual evaluation of the various terms in (29) requires the adoption of a specific microscopic model for the interacting hamiltonian and is certainly beyond the purpose of the present work.

As stressed at the beginning, the exponential decay law can not hold for all times. We shall now go back to the equation (19), which is exact up to second order terms in $H^{(1)}$, and try to evaluate the corrections to the Weisskopf-Wigner approximation. To this purpose, let us add and subtract to the l.h.s. of (19) the term $i\mathcal{L}_{H_W}$.[22] Within our approximation, one can then write:

$$\Big[s + i\mathcal{L}_{W(s)}\Big]^{-1} = \Big[s + i\mathcal{L}_{H_W}\Big]^{-1} - \frac{i}{s^2}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{W(s)} - \mathcal{L}_{H_W}\Big)\ . \tag{31}$$

By acting on a generic state $|\rho\rangle_u$, using simple algebra one can prove that:

$$-\frac{i}{s^2}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{W(s)} - \mathcal{L}_{H_W}\Big)\ |\rho\rangle_u = \big|\ V(s)\,\rho_{uu} - \rho_{uu}\,V^{\dagger}(s)\ \big\rangle\ , \tag{32}$$

where

$$V(s) = H^{(1)}_{ud}\ \frac{1}{(E_0 - H^{(0)}_{dd} + i\lambda)^2}\ \left[\frac{1}{i(s+\lambda) + E_0 - H^{(0)}_{dd}} - \frac{1}{is}\right]\ H^{(1)}_{du}\ . \tag{33}$$

Inserting these results in (20) and performing the s-integration, one obtains an effective evolution for the projected density matrix ρ_{uu} of the form

$$\rho_{uu}(t) = U(t)\ \rho_{uu}(0)\ U^\dagger(t)\ , \tag{34}$$

where

$$U(t) = e^{-iH_W t} + H^{(1)}_{ud}\ \frac{1}{(E_0 - H^{(0)}_{dd} + i\lambda)^2}\ \Big[e^{-i(H^{(0)}_{dd} - i\lambda)t} - e^{-iE_0 t}\Big]\ H^{(1)}_{du}\ . \tag{35}$$

This evolution satisfies the correct boundary condition, $U(0) = P_u$; it contains contributions up to second order in $H^{(1)}$ and to all orders in λ. It is dominated by the exponential Weisskopf-Wigner term; however, the additional pieces are relevant for small and large times.

By expanding (35) for small t, one gets:

$$U(t)\ \underset{t\to 0}{\simeq}\ (1 - itE_0)P_u - \frac{t^2}{2}\Big(E_0^2 P_u + H^{(1)}_{ud}\ H^{(1)}_{du}\Big)\ . \tag{36}$$

Inserting this result in (34), the probability (4) takes the form:

$$\mathcal{P}(t) \simeq 1 - (t/\tau_G)^2 \equiv 1 - t^2\ \mathrm{Tr}\Big[H^{(1)}_{ud}\ H^{(1)}_{du}\ \rho_{uu}(0)\Big]\ , \tag{37}$$

and therefore has a Gaussian behaviour; the Gaussian width τ_G^{-2}, that can be rewritten as $\mathrm{Tr}\big[H_{ud}\, H_{du}\ \rho_{uu}(0)\big]$, gives the spread in energy $(\Delta E)^2$ of the initial state $\rho_{uu}(0)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is independent from λ, so that the small-time decay law is unaffected by the interaction with the environment. This result is physically understandable: the time is too short to allow the environment to play a role in the decay, which, in this early stages, is totally driven by $H^{(1)}$. This is also in agreement with our starting assumption that the environment does not disturb the preparation of the decaying system.

The times for which the Gaussian behaviour of $\mathcal{P}(t)$ can be, at least in principle, experimentally detected are in general very small. A comparison with the exponential behaviour in (22), which gives

$$\mathcal{P}(t) \simeq 1 - t/\tau \equiv 1 - t\ \mathrm{Tr}\big[\Gamma\, \rho_{uu}(0)\big]\ , \tag{38}$$

indicates that this could happen only for times smaller than $t_m \simeq \tau_G^2/\tau = 1/(\Delta E)^2\tau$. However, by taking into account the Heisenberg time-energy uncertainty principle, $\Delta E\, \Delta t \geq 1$, one finds t_m to be actually smaller than the time interval Δt necessary to complete any measurement.[1, 23] Therefore, it is practically impossible to detect deviations from the standard exponential decay law at small times in any actual experiment involving elementary particles (nevertheless, in suitable atomic systems the situation might be different, see [24, 25]).

In studying the large time behaviour of $U(t)$, it is convenient to rewrite (35) as:

$$U(t) = \big[1 - R(0)\big]\ e^{-iH_W t} + R(t)\ , \tag{39}$$

where

$$R(t) = H_{ud}^{(1)} \ \frac{1}{(E_0 - H_{dd}^{(0)} + i\lambda)^2} \ e^{-i(H_{dd}^{(0)} - i\lambda)t} \ H_{du}^{(1)} \ . \tag{40}$$

For large times, the prefactor to the Wiesskopf-Wigner term, which is actually equal to $dH_W(E_0)/dE_0$, can be reabsorbed in a normalization of the states. By putting the system in a box and using again the definitions (25) and (26), in the infinite-volume limit the correction term $R(t)$ takes the form

$$R(t) = \int_{E_m}^{\infty} dE \ \omega(E) \ \frac{e^{-i(E - i\lambda)t}}{(E_0 - E + i\lambda)^2} \ . \tag{41}$$

Due to phase space limitations, the behaviour on threshold of $\omega(E)$ can be usually approximated by:

$$\omega(E) \simeq \omega(E_0) \left(\frac{E}{E_m} - 1 \right)^{\delta} \ , \qquad \delta > 0 \ . \tag{42}$$

Inserting this expression in (41), together with a convergent factor $e^{-\varepsilon E}$, $\varepsilon \ll t$, the integral in $R(t)$ can be evaluated exactly in terms of Whittaker functions.[26] Taking the large t limit, one finally obtains

$$R(t)_{\,t \simeq \infty} \ \Gamma(\delta + 1) \ \frac{E_m \ \omega(E_0)}{(E_0 - E_m + i\lambda)^2} \ \frac{e^{-i(E_m - i\lambda)t}}{\left(it \, E_m\right)^{\delta + 1}} \ . \tag{43}$$

Therefore, for large times the effective evolution operator $U(t)$ exhibits a power law behaviour, modulated by an exponential.[22] Notice that the probability $\mathcal{P}(t)$ in (4) has a more complicated behaviour due to the interference effects between the two terms in (39). In fact, besides the standard Wiesskopf-Wigner term proportional to $e^{-t/\tau}$ and the power-like term proportional to $t^{-2(\delta+1)}$, $\mathcal{P}(t)$ contains also an oscillating term that, taking for simplicity $\lambda = 0$, is proportional to $e^{-t/2\tau} \, \mathcal{R}e[e^{-itE_m}/(it)^{\delta+1}]$. In another context, this intermediate behaviour has also been noticed in [24].

In order to estimate the region in which the long-time correction (43) supersedes the standard exponential decay term, one has to consider the magnitude of the correction, given by the modulus $|R(t)|$ of the operator in (43). Recalling (29b), one has

$$|R(t)| \simeq \left| \Gamma - 2\lambda \, \Delta\Gamma \right| \ \frac{E_m}{(E_0 - E_m)^2 + \lambda^2} \ \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(t \, E_m)^{\delta + 1}} \ , \tag{44}$$

where $\Delta\Gamma = 1 - \mu'(E_0)$. This result should be compared with the standard exponential term $e^{-\Gamma t/2}$. In order to do this, let us choose a basis in which Γ (or better the spectral operator ω) is diagonal, and label with the index α the corresponding eigenvalues. In the case of the neutral kaon system, α takes the two values S and L, which refer to the K_S and K_L states. Assuming the difference $E_0 - E_m$ of the same order of E_m, one finds that the power law behaviour dominates for times larger than $\tau_\alpha/\Gamma_\alpha$, where τ_α is implicitly given by the following equation

$$\tau_\alpha = 2 \left(1 + 2\frac{\lambda}{\Gamma_\alpha} \right) (\delta + 1) \ln \tau_\alpha + 2 \left(1 + 2\frac{\lambda}{\Gamma_\alpha} \right) (\delta + 2) \ln \left(\frac{E_m}{\Gamma_\alpha} \right) + 2\lambda \frac{\Delta\Gamma_\alpha}{\Gamma_\alpha} \ . \tag{45}$$

Since E_m/Γ_α is usually very large ($\sim 10^{15}$) in elementary particle decays, it turns out that deviations from the exponential law can be seen only after hundreds of life-times (for $\delta = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$), a region which is clearly unavailable to the experiment. Furthermore, notice that the role of the environment tends to worsen the situation, pushing the limit towards even longer times.

We can safely conclude that the role played by the environment in the decay process of an unstable system is marginal and can not be detected in actual experiments. This conclusion results from a careful study of the starting evolution equation (7). Although phenomenological in nature, this equation encodes in a rather general and universal way possible dissipative effects due to a weak interaction with an environment. From this point of view, the description of the neutral kaon system in terms of completely positive dynamical semigroups given in [13-16] is appropriate. In particular, the deviations from the predictions of the standard Weisskopf-Wigner theory discussed there, if experimentally detected, could be really the sign of new physics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank N. Paver for many illuminating discussions.

REFERENCES

1. L. Fonda, G.C. Ghirardi and A. Rimini, Rep. Prog. Phys. **41** (1978) 587
2. A. Peres, Ann. of Phys. **129** (1980) 33
3. H. Nakazato, M. Namiki and S. Pascazio, Int J. Mod. Phys. **B10** (1996) 247
4. N.N. Nikolaev, Sov. Phys. Usp. **11** (1968) 522; for more recent results, see: The OPAL Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B368** (1996) 244
5. T.D. Lee and C.S. Wu, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. **16** (1966) 511
6. R. Alicki and K. Lendi, *Quantum Dynamical Semigroups and Applications*, Lect. Notes Phys. **286**, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987)
7. H. Spohn, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53** (1980) 569
8. G. Lindblad, Comm. Math. Phys. **48** (1976) 119
9. E.B. Davies, *Quantum Theory of Open Systems*, (Academic Press, New York, 1976)
10. V. Gorini, A. Frigerio, M. Verri, A. Kossakowski and E.C.G. Surdarshan, Rep. Math. Phys. **13** (1978) 149
11. J. Ellis, J.S. Hagelin, D.V. Nanopoulos and M. Srednicki, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1984) 381; J. Ellis, J.L. Lopez, N.E. Mavromatos and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 3846
12. P. Huet and M.E. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. **B434** (1995) 3
13. F. Benatti and R. Floreanini, Nucl. Phys. **B488** (1997) 335
14. F. Benatti and R. Floreanini, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 1465
15. F. Benatti and R. Floreanini, Phys. Lett. **B401** (1997) 337
16. F. Benatti and R. Floreanini, Completely positive dynamics of correlated neutral kaons, Nucl. Phys. B, to appear
17. S. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1983) 395
18. L.J. Garay, Spacetime foam as quantum thermal bath, `gr-qc/9801024`
19. C.B. Chiu, E.C.G. Sudarshan and G. Bhamathi, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 3508
20. C. Bernardini, L. Maiani and M. Testa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 2687
21. I. Joichi, Sh. Matsumoto and M. Yoshimura, Time evolution of unstable particle decay seen with finite resolution, `hep-ph/9711235`
22. Q. Wang and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 3131
23. L. Fonda, G.C. Ghirardi and T. Weber, Phys. Lett. **B131** (1983) 309
24. P. Facchi and S. Pascazio, Temporal behaviour and quantum Zeno region of an excited state of the hydrogen atom, University of Bari preprint, 1997
25. E. Mihokova, S. Pascazio and L.S. Schulman, Hindered decay: quantum Zeno effect through electromagnetic field domination, Phys. Rev. **A**, to appear
26. I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994)